

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/945,361	08/31/2001	James Robert Kitchen	5681-03900	2095	
75	11/19/2003		EXAM	INER	
B. Noel Kivlir	1		PAIK, S	TEVE S	
Conley, Rose, &	& Tayon, P.C.		APTIBUT	DADED MUMED	
P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78767			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			i
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	io-
Advisory Action	09/945,361	KITCHEN, JAMES	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application (ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-33</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		`
10. Other: Claims 8-33 remain rejected as set forth in the I	Final Office action. (see paper No.	10)	
		MICHAEL G. 1	ÉE
	45	UPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	EXAMINER ED 2000

Application No. 09/945,361

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the cited prior arts of the record, Fujita et al. (US 5,774,399) in view of Inazaki et al.(JP 403083480A) still read on the claimed invention. The applicant's response of 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection has been fully considered, but it is not persuasive.